



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,863	11/29/2005	Antonio Aguirrezabal Oroz	OROZI	2256
<div>1444                      7590                      03/09/2009 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303</div>				
<div>EXAMINER HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE</div>				
<div>ART UNIT 3781</div>		<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>		
<div>MAIL DATE 03/09/2009</div>		<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/530,863

**Applicant(s)**AGUIRREZABAL OROZ,  
ANTONIO**Examiner**

ROBIN HYLTON

**Art Unit**

3781

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBIN HYLTON.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sheridan Neimark.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Office action mailed 12-16-08 inadvertently omitted the reason for the rejection of claim 5 under 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph. The rejection is similar to that 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. The horizontal wall is not clearly set forth as a part of the container and it is unclear if the horizontal wall is permanently or not a part of the container or closure.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ROBIN HYLTON/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781